

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- *Everyone is welcome, we ARE the Working Group. Come check us out!! WELCOME!*

WHERE WERE YOU DURING THE KSU HOME FOOTBALL GAMES?

Couldn't make it to the Concession Stand? We couldn't either – health problems stopped us. Some of us could do it & some couldn't. Those of us that could only give verbal support for the Concession Stand need to step up & give monetary support. Hey, don't start screaming – would \$1 or \$2 dollars really be a tremendous sacrifice? If each of our 800+ emails & 65 snail mails could spare just a dollar or two for the Youth of Kansas, think what that would do for our KS youth scholarship! Come to one of our meetings (McAlisters on Wed. evening would be great or 2nd Saturday at the Manhattan City Library), check with one of us personally or come to the Constitution Bee on March 3 to give your contribution or Send to: "Flint Hills Education Fund" 1228 Westloop Place PMB #326, Manhattan KS 66502-2840. In addition to contributing, tell all USA & Kansas citizens who are 9-12 students to check us out at: YESforLiberty.org. Those are the only qualifications to enter the Constitution Bee. The Youth of Kansas will appreciate you!! We deeply appreciate all efforts & contributions for the youth of KS.

Within this Newsletter when comments are made in green they are the comments of the Newsletter editor.

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 2009

I'm Tired — some updates by Robert A. Hall <http://tartanmarine.blogspot.com/2009/02/robert.html>

I'll be 63 soon. Except for one semester in college when jobs were scarce, and a six-month period when I was between jobs, but job-hunting every day, I've worked, hard, since I was 18. Despite some health challenges, I still put in 50-hour weeks, and haven't called in sick in seven or eight years. I make a good salary, but I didn't inherit my job or my income, and I worked to get where I am. Given the economy, there's no retirement in sight, and I'm tired.

I'm tired of being told that I have to "spread the wealth around" to people who don't have my work ethic. I'm tired of being told the government will take the money I earned, by force if necessary, and give it to people too lazy or stupid to earn it.

I'm tired of being told that I have to pay more taxes to "keep people in their homes." Sure, if they lost their jobs or got sick, I'm willing to help. But if they bought McMansions at three times the price of our paid-off, \$250,000 condo, on one-third of my salary, then let the leftwing Congresscritters who passed Fannie & Freddie and the Community Reinvestment Act that created the bubble help them — with their own money.

I'm tired of being told how bad America is by leftwing millionaires like Michael Moore, George Soros and Hollywood entertainers who live in luxury because of the opportunities America offers. In thirty years, if they get their way, the United States will have the religious freedom and women's rights of Saudi Arabia, the economy of Zimbabwe, the freedom of the press of China, the crime and violence of Mexico, the tolerance for gay people of Iran, and the freedom of speech of Venezuela. Won't multiculturalism be beautiful?

I'm tired of being told that Islam is a "Religion of Peace," when every day I can read dozens of stories of Muslim men killing their sisters, wives and daughters for their family "honor;" of Muslims rioting over some slight offense; of Muslims murdering Christian and Jews because they aren't "believers;" of Muslims burning schools for girls; of Muslims stoning teenage rape victims to death for "adultery;" of Muslims mutilating the genitals of little girls; all in the name of Allah, because the Qur'an and Shari'a law tells them to.

I believe "a man should be judged by the content of his character, not by the color of his skin." I'm tired of being told that "race doesn't matter" in the post-racial world of President Obama, when it's all that matters in affirmative action jobs, lower college admission and graduation standards for minorities (harming them the most),

government contract set-asides, tolerance for the ghetto culture of violence and fatherless children that hurts minorities more than anyone, and in the appointment of US Senators from Illinois. I think it's very cool that we have a black president and that a black child is doing her homework at the desk where Lincoln wrote the emancipation proclamation. I just wish the black president was Condi Rice, or someone who believes more in freedom and the individual and less in an all-knowing government.

I'm tired of a news media that thinks Bush's fundraising and inaugural expenses were obscene, but that Obama's, at triple the cost, were wonderful. That thinks Bush exercising daily was a waste of presidential time, but Obama exercising is a great example for the public to control weight and stress, that picked over every line of Bush's military records, but never demanded that Kerry release his, that slammed Palin with two years as governor for being too inexperienced for VP, but touted Obama with three years as senator as potentially the best president ever.

Wonder why people are dropping their subscriptions or switching to Fox News? Get a clue. I didn't vote for Bush in 2000, but the media and Kerry drove me to his camp in 2004.

I'm tired of being told that out of "tolerance for other cultures" we must let Saudi Arabia use our oil money to fund mosques and madrassa Islamic schools to preach hate in America, while no American group is allowed to fund a church, synagogue or religious school in Saudi Arabia to teach love and tolerance.

I'm tired of being told I must lower my living standard to fight global warming, which no one is allowed to debate. My wife and I live in a two-bedroom apartment and carpool together five miles to our jobs. We also own a three-bedroom condo where our daughter and granddaughter live. Our carbon footprint is about 5% of Al Gore's, and *if you're greener than Gore, you're green enough.*

I'm tired of being told that drug addicts have a disease, and I must help support and treat them, and pay for the damage they do. Did a giant germ rush out of a dark alley, grab them, and stuff white powder up their noses while they tried to fight it off? I don't think Gay people choose to be Gay, but I damn sure think druggies chose to take drugs. And I'm tired of harassment from cool people treating me like a freak when I tell them I never tried marijuana.

Update: People have written to tell me I'd have more sympathy if this was close to me. It is exactly having seen the destruction of alcoholism and heroin addiction in my own family that makes me pretty intolerant of people who are willing to destroy the people around them to indulge themselves.

I'm tired of illegal aliens being called "undocumented workers," especially the ones who aren't working, but are living on welfare or crime. What's next? Calling drug dealers, "Undocumented Pharmacists"? And, no, I'm not against Hispanics. Most of them are Catholic and it's been a few hundred years since Catholics wanted to kill me for my religion. I'm willing to fast track for citizenship any Hispanic person who can speak English, doesn't have a criminal record and who is self-supporting without family on welfare, or who serves honorably for three years in our military. Those are the citizens we need.

Update: A few people have taken this to indicate some bias against Catholics, based on events 400 years ago. While I think they are either too touchy or fail to understand, I was only trying to say that I have zero problem with Catholics wanting to come to the US, but that I have great concerns about Muslims, as a good % of them do want to kill me, or force their religion and moral code on me.

I'm tired of latte liberals and journalists, who would never wear the uniform of the Republic themselves, or let their entitlement-handicapped kids near a recruiting station, trashing our military. They and their kids can sit at home, never having to make split-second decisions under life and death circumstances, and bad mouth better people than themselves. Do bad things happen in war? You bet. Do our troops sometimes misbehave? Sure. Does this compare with the atrocities that were the policy of our enemies for the last fifty years — and still are? Not even close. So here's the deal. I'll let myself be subjected to all the humiliation and abuse that was heaped on terrorists at Abu Ghraib or Gitmo, and the critics can let themselves be subject to captivity by the Muslims who tortured and beheaded Daniel Pearl in Pakistan, or the Muslims who tortured and murdered Marine Lt. Col. William Higgins in Lebanon, or the Muslims who ran the blood-spattered Al Qaeda torture rooms our troops found in Iraq, or the Muslims who cut off the heads of schoolgirls in Indonesia, because the girls were Christian. Then we'll compare notes. British and American soldiers are the *only troops in history* that civilians came to for help and handouts, instead of hiding from in fear.

UPDATE: It has rightly been pointed out to me, several times, that I should have included Canadian, Australian and New Zealand troops here. My apologies for slighting these gallant allies of freedom. I'm tired of people telling me that their party has a corner on virtue and the other party has a corner on corruption. Read the papers — bums are bi-partisan. And I'm tired of people telling me we need bi-partisanship. I live in

Illinois, where the “Illinois Combine” of Democrats and Republicans has worked together harmoniously to loot the public for years. And I notice that the tax cheats in Obama’s cabinet are bi-partisan as well.

I’m tired of hearing wealthy athletes, entertainers and politicians of both parties talking about innocent mistakes, stupid mistakes or youthful mistakes, when we all know they think their only mistake was getting caught. I’m tired of people with a sense of entitlement, rich or poor.

Speaking of poor, I’m tired of hearing people with air-conditioned homes, color TVs and two cars called poor. The majority of Americans didn’t have that in 1970, but we didn’t know we were “poor.” The poverty pimps have to keep changing the definition of poor to keep the dollars flowing.

I’m real tired of people who don’t take responsibility for their lives and actions. I’m tired of hearing them blame the government, or discrimination, or big-whatever for their problems.

Yes, I’m damn tired. But I’m also glad to be 63. Because, mostly, I’m not going to get to see the world these people are making. I’m just sorry for my granddaughter.

Robert A. Hall is a Marine Vietnam veteran who served five terms in the Massachusetts state senate. He blogs at www.tartanmarine.blogspot.com

There is no way this will be widely publicized, unless each of us sends it on! I agree wholeheartedly, completely, with Bill Cosby. We need to send this to everyone we know and work to take this OUT OF THE USA. We can work on many of these things out of the USA. This is only the beginning!!! Hitch up your britches, take a deep breath, and dig in!!

This is your chance to make a difference!!

A Physician’s New Reality: Patients Ask Me to Break the Law

By Dr. Peter Weiss

November 24, 2012 – 12:00am

Ironically, but expectedly, the ones who do this now are likely to have supported Obamacare

Obama won, Obamacare is the law, and, as my wife says, I will just have to learn to dance to a new song.

Now, don’t get me wrong, Obamacare is awful. Forget all the “free stuff” it provides. Children covered on their parents’ plan until 26 years of age? A scam, making young adults — excuse me, children — pay for complete, comprehensive health insurance when all they need and should pay for is major catastrophe insurance. Then there is the “annual ” or “preventative” exam, which according to Obamacare is “free.”

You gotta love this stuff. I wish I had the chutzpah of the people who wrote Obamacare. What they did not tell you, and I am, is that it covers absolutely nothing more than the bare minimum.

I have now posted a notice in my office and each exam room stating exactly what Obamacare will cover for those yearly visits. Remember Obama promised this as a free exam — no co-pay, no deductible, no charge. That’s fine and dandy if you are healthy and have no complaints. However, we are obligated by law to code specifically for the reason of the visit. An annual exam is one specific code; you cannot mix this with another code, say, for rectal bleeding. This annual visit covers the exam and “discussion about the status of previously diagnosed stable conditions.” That’s the exact wording under that code — insurance will not cover any new ailment under that code.

If you are here for that annual exam, you will not be covered if you want to discuss any new ailment or unstable condition. I cannot bait and switch to another code — that’s illegal. We, the physicians, are audited all the time and can lose our license for insurance fraud.

You, the patient, will then have to make a decision.

Do you want your “free” yearly exam, or do you want to pay for a visit which is coded for a particular, new problem? You can have my “free” exam if you only discuss what Obamacare wants me to discuss.

This happened to me personally, as a patient, when I went for my physical. It is the law. If you are complaining of a new problem, then you have to reschedule, since Obamacare is very clear as to what is covered and what is not. Obamacare — intentionally — makes it as difficult to be seen and taken care of as possible.

Patients can be very tricky. I have had patients make an “annual” exam, only to want to discuss and be treated for another ailment. I can’t do it.

I can hear the complaints from you guys already — I become the bad guy. “Why don’t you just take care of the problem, and not bill out any different code? You’re a rich doctor, and we are entitled to free stuff.”

It doesn’t work that way. First, doctors are not rich and, like most of you, actually work terribly hard for a living. Second, Obamacare is the law — and as I said earlier, we are audited all the time now.

Also — I don’t ask for free gas when I go to the gas station, or ask for free food from the supermarket. Additionally, Obamacare has a 23% cut in Medicare reimbursement to doctors and hospitals.

These lower payments won’t cover the cost of staying in practice to take care of the patient.

Private doctors are becoming a thing of the past. By 2014, less than 25% of physicians will be in private medicine. Obama was right in stating you can keep your doctor if you want to — the problem is he or she will rarely be available.

On top of all of that, doctors will be obligated — that’s right, obligated — to talk to you about things you may have no interest or need to talk about.

You may just want to have a pap smear or check your cholesterol. However, I am now mandated by the government to talk to you about your weight, exercise, family life, smoking, sexual abuse(!), and even to ask if you wear seat belts. And I am mandated to record your answers.

I am a physician. But I need to tell you to wear a seat belt and then record your answer.

I have received interesting responses from my patients since I put up the notice. Almost all are supportive and totally understand. The very few who complain? The same patients who always ask for free samples, who always complain that we do not validate parking. These are also the same patients who call my office and ask for free samples even when they are not even being seen.

Obamacare and its 2,000-plus pages are here to stay. I will still give my patients 100% of my time, energy, and knowledge. I still love being a doctor — my patients’ doctor. I will, however, abide by the law and follow it to the letter. I will have to learn this new dance. “Free” has its price.

From the sound of this it is going to take a great effort for the Dr. to follow the law. IF we want to keep our good Drs. we will have to control our questions to help our Dr. comply with the rules Obamacare forces on them. IF have the “Free” exam you’ll have to do what Obamacare dictates. If your willing to forgo the “Free” exam then you can discuss what you want with your Dr. What good is a “Free” exam if it doesn’t cover your need? I’m assuming you can just skip the “Free” exam & make an appointment to fit your needs & not waste the Drs. Time.

Higher Gas-Tax Idea Joins Fiscal-Cliff Talks

by Josh Mitchell, Nov. 25, 2012, 12:01 AM

States and business advocates are maneuvering to use the current budget negotiations in Washington to win support for a long-sought increase in the federal gasoline tax—one of a grab bag of proposals various groups are seeking to tuck into a deal.

The White House and Congress are trying to craft a broad deficit-reduction deal to substitute for the so-called fiscal cliff, a \$500 billion combination of tax increases and spending cuts set to begin Jan. 2. State highway officials and industries that stand to benefit from increased highway spending—including road builders and heavy-equipment makers—are among those pressing lawmakers to raise the 18.4-cent-a-gallon federal gasoline tax as part of an agreement.

Other industries also are moving to have initiatives attached to a budget deal—as part of either a short-term agreement this year or a longer-term plan reached next year to overhaul spending and taxes. Casinos are pushing a measure to legalize Internet poker games nationally. Small banks are pressing to extend a program that gives unlimited federal guarantees to certain bank deposits. Governors want additional aid to cover

destruction wrought by Hurricane Sandy. The financial industry is pushing to loosen regulations on complex financial derivatives.

"Basically, you've got a bunch of people waiting in the wings to stick the collection plate out and grab whatever they can grab," said Dan Kish, senior vice president for policy at the Institute for Energy Research, which advocates for free-market energy policies. The group, which receives funding from the oil industry and other energy producers, has criticized efforts to get a tax on carbon emissions included in a budget deal.

So far, it isn't clear which, if any, proposals are gaining traction, or whether a broader deal will be reached, given disagreement between the White House and lawmakers over taxes and spending.

The federal gas tax was last raised in 1993 and 1990, each time as part of a deficit-reduction plan. After failing for years to overcome public opposition, supporters of another increase see the current talks as a once-in-a-generation chance to raise the tax, which finances highway and transit construction.

This is the **SHORT STORY**, which is more than enough. The Wall Street Journal has more. Our Kansas Gas Tax is 25 cents or 43.3 cents including the Federal tax of 18.4 cents. And they want to add 18.4 cents more!! Thought Obama said he wasn't going to raise taxes on the middle or lower income people – not even a month since the election and the lies are showing up already. When they said the illiterate elected Obama they were right!! But a couple million Conservatives can't complain, because they didn't even vote!!!

CONGRESSMAN: OBAMA'S TAX INCREASES FUND GOVERNMENT FOR EIGHT DAYS (Yes, you read it right -- 8 days.)

by [WYNTON HALL](#) 28 Nov 2012, 10:23 AM PDT

President Barack Obama has proposed raising taxes on the rich to put America's fiscal house in order, but critics say federal spending is so massive that the wealthy don't have enough money to cover the nation's unprecedented debt.

In an [interview](#) with MSNBC's Andrea Mitchell, Rep. Tom Price (R-GA) said President Barack Obama's plan to raise taxes on the wealthy would only generate enough revenue to fund the federal government for eight days.

"The president's plan to increase taxes on the upper two percent covers the spending by this federal government not for eight years, not for eight months, not for eight weeks but for eight days. Eight days only," said Mr. Price. "It's not a real solution. So, again, I'm puzzled by an administration that seems to be more interested in raising tax rates than in gaining economic vitality."

The problem is that the rich don't have enough money to put so much as a dent in America's \$16 trillion national debt. "If the IRS grabbed 100 percent of income over \$1 million, the take would be just \$616 billion," [writes](#) John Stossel. "That's only a third of this year's deficit. Our national debt would continue to explode."

Still, Mr. Obama's supporters persist in proposing tax hikes on the wealthy. On Sunday, billionaire Warren Buffett [proposed](#) a minimum tax for America's top earners. "We need Congress, right now, to enact a minimum tax on high incomes. I would suggest 30 percent of taxable income between \$1 million and \$10 million, and 35 percent on amounts above that."

There's just one problem with such an approach, [says](#) author Mark Steyn:

If you took every single penny that Warren Buffett has, it'd pay for 4-1/2 days of the US government. This tax-the-rich won't work. The problem here is the government is way bigger than even the capacity of the rich to sustain it. The Buffett Rule would raise \$3.2 billion a year, and take 514 years just to pay off Obama's 2011 budget deficit.

Indeed, even Mr. Buffett seems to concede that he and the president's "soak the rich" proposals are more an act of political theater designed to generate an emotional response than serious solutions: Mr. Buffett [told](#) Matt Lauer he believes his proposal would boost the "morale of the middle class."

No amount of tax increase will do any good until expenses/spending is drastically cut in multiple places.

[I have only one thing to say to the tax increasers: Go ahead - make my day. Ronald Regan, Mar. 13, 1985](#)

Muslim Brotherhood Advising Obama?

Posted on December 1, 2012 by [ConservativeByte](#)

Outspoken Republican congressman Louie Gohmert is continuing to press for investigation of the Muslim Brotherhood's influence on the federal government, contending in an interview that a

probe is necessary because of the Obama administration's "horrendous decisions" in backing the so-called "Arab Spring" revolutions in the Middle East.

The East Texas lawmaker was one of five Republican Congress members who stirred bipartisan controversy in June by raising concern about Muslim Brotherhood infiltration in the nation's capital.

In an interview Tuesday on Gohmert charged that the administration was taking advice from the Muslim Brotherhood, the Egypt-based movement formed after the demise of the Ottoman Turkish empire with the intent of helping establish Islamic rule worldwide.

"You look at the decisions [the Obama administration] made, especially in the last two years, in going through the revolutions in Northern Africa and across the Middle East and to the Far East," said Gohmert, "and the only way you can explain the horrendous decisions that were so completely wrongheaded would be if the administration had a bunch of Muslim Brotherhood members giving them advice."

In July, Gohmert, along with Rep. Michele Bachmann, R, Minn., and three other Republican House members, pointed to Hillary Clinton's top aide, Huma Abedin, as a possible Muslim Brotherhood influence on U.S. policy. The lawmakers asked the inspector generals at the departments of Homeland Security, Justice and State to investigate, prompting Democrats and Republicans to rush to Abedin's defense.

Read more: <http://conservativebyte.com/2012/12/muslim-brotherhood-advising-obama/#ixzz2DpstubEV>

OBAMA DEMANDS \$1.6 TRILLION TAX BOOST, AND AN UNLIMITED CREDIT CARD

from the DC Reader on 11/30/12 at 3:11 AM

President Barack Obama has dramatically upped his demands in the fiscal crisis negotiations: He wants Congress to levy twice as much in extra taxes from Americans as he urged during the election campaign, give up its control over the nation's debt limit, and fund an immediate \$50 billion stimulus for his political priorities.

In exchange, Obama offered to consider — but not necessarily accept — GOP proposals for cutting \$400 billion from Medicare and other programs strongly favored by off-year voters.

That listening session would take place sometime in 2013, giving the president plenty of time to wrap the unpopular demand around the necks of the GOP legislators before the 2014 midterm elections.

The surprise package was given to Republican House Speaker John Boehner on Thursday by Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner.

Geithner presided over Obama's four-year spending spree, which has left 23 million Americans unemployed, underemployed or out of the workforce. That spending has been funded by \$7 trillion in borrowed funds or government-printed cash, generating additional debt of roughly \$20,000 per person.

The budget package is slated to avoid the economic pain due from the scheduled Jan. 1 imposition of planned budget cuts and tax increases, worth \$500 billion during 2013.

Boehner and other Republicans derided Obama's wish list, which was described by the [New York Times](#) as "a detailed proposal ... loaded with Democratic priorities and short in detailed spending cuts."

The Washington Post described the package as lacking "any concessions to Republicans, most notably on the core issue of where to set tax rates for the wealthiest Americans... [and] it seemed to take Republicans by surprise."

Read more: <http://dailycaller.com/2012/11/30/obama-demands-1-6-trillion-tax-boost-and-an-unlimited-credit-card/#ixzz2DpwbMyAB>

"If men through fear, fraud or mistake, should in terms renounce and give up any essential natural right, the eternal law of reason and the great end of society, would absolutely vacate such renunciation; the right to freedom being the gift of God Almighty, it is not in the power of Man to alienate this gift, and voluntarily become a slave." ~ John Adams, Rights of the Colonists, 1772

The Value of Christmas

by Frank Clark

We have lost the value of Christmas in our culture, so much so that it has become politically incorrect to even say, "Merry Christmas". One political group is even now suing to keep *Charlie Brown's Christmas* from being aired on television! So, what is the value of Christmas anyway?

Starting with Adam and Eve, all men and women have sinned. This caused all of us to break relationship with a perfect and holy God (Lv 11:5), and to fall short of the glory that God originally intended us to live in (Rom 3:23). This broken relationship cannot be restored by us due to God's required justice for the offense. It had to be restored by someone perfect in nature and sinless. (Rom 3:25)

Scripture says that before the foundation of the world, God asked his son to fulfill this role. (1Pet 1:20) Paul says that Jesus set aside all of his heavenly glory and became a man like us. (Phil 2:5-11) He was born of a virgin by the work of the Holy Spirit so that He would not inherit the sin nature of man. (Lk 1:31-33) After living on the earth for about 33 years and teaching us how to live, Jesus voluntarily suffered and died on the cross. (Mt 27:45-60) In doing so, He paid for the sins of the world. (1 Jn 2:2) Now it is possible for everyone to be restored in relationship with God. However, they must choose to accept the free gift of Jesus' atoning death (Rom 10:9) and allow Jesus to become the Lord of their lives. (1 Cor 15:56-57) Sadly, the majority of men and women throughout history have refused this lordship. They have done this out of pride in themselves. As a result, they have rejected the free gift of their salvation.

Christmas is important for two reasons. First, Jesus did not come as men think someone of importance should come. He did not come as the reigning king and live in a palace with many servants. Instead, He came as one who serves and ministers to others, especially the lost. (Lk 22:27) Matthew and Luke both describe the coming of Jesus to this earth in great detail. Luke says that angels appeared and gave glory to God for this birth. (Lk 2:13-14) If God sends angels to announce it, should we not pay attention to that event? The shepherds and the wise men did. (Lk 2:15-20) This should be a time of joy for us, because of what God has done.

The second reason that Christmas is important is that it is an opportunity to refocus on who Jesus is and renew our dedication to follow Him. Some Christian traditions precede Christmas by a four week long preparation period called Advent. However we choose to do it, we do need to periodically take serious time to return wholeheartedly to Jesus.

I know that this is also a time of gift giving. Would it not be great to give a gift to Jesus in the form of a renewed commitment to Him as Lord and Savior? After all, we have been bought with a great price, the shed blood of the Son of God. (1 Cor 6:20) This should be a time of great joy for us, because Jesus has saved us from eternal damnation. "For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given: and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, The everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace." (Is 9:6 KJV)

If we forget we are a Country under GOD, then we will be a nation gone under. ~ Ronald Reagan

From Prague, The Perfect Quote: Occasionally people have the vocabulary to sum up things in a way that can be quickly understood; this quote - from the Czech Republic. It was translated into English from an article in the Prague newspaper, Prager Zeitungon

"The danger to America is not Barack Obama, but a citizenry capable of entrusting a man like him with the Presidency. It will be far easier to limit and undo the follies of an Obama presidency than to restore the necessary common sense and good judgment to a depraved electorate willing to have such a man for their president. The problem is much deeper and far more serious than Mr. Obama, who is a mere symptom of what ails America. Blaming the prince of the fools should not blind anyone to the vast confederacy of fools that made him their prince.

The Republic can survive a Barack Obama, who is, after all, merely a fool. It is less likely to survive a multitude of fools, such as those who made him their president.

Richard D. Fry posted this to the Flint Hills T.E.A. Party on Dec. 1, 2012 at 1:31 pm

Sen. [Jerry] Moran voted for the Feinstein-Lee (Rand Paul) amendment which does nothing to fix the problem with the unconstitutional citizen detention provisions of NDAA 2012 but is yet another attempt of controlled confusion to deceive the public. This amendment is simply a rewrite

of Feinstein's Due Process Guarantee Act of 2011, which amends the Non-Detention Act (NDA) of 1971.

The NDA amended Title II, the Emergency Detention Act (EDA), of the McCarran Internal Security Act (ISA) of 1950. EDA authorized the detention of suspected subversives without the normal Constitutional checks required for imprisonment. You see we have been down this road before and apparently did not learn from our past mistakes.

The Feinstein-Lee Amendment requires "express" Congressional authorization to detain U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents. However, this is meaningless because:

1. The Bush administration, in a citizen detention case, argued that the NDA only applies to civil prisons, not military detentions. A reading of the NDA supports this position. (Note 1.) If this is true the amendment does nothing.

2. Some have argued by Congressional intent the NDA relates to both civil and military detention. (Note 2.)

3. Either way the Supreme Court has held that the AUMF 2001 was "explicit" authorization for the detention of the U.S. citizen in question. Express and explicit are synonymous. Therefore the amendment does nothing.

4. The NDAA 2012 is itself "expressed" authority for citizen detentions. "Or, as Rep. Justin Amash (R-Mich.) put it, "that Act of Congress is the 2012 NDAA, which renders the rest of the Feinstein amendment meaningless." (Note 3.)

5. Persons on both sides of the civil v. civil / military argument agree that the NDA applies "...except in those cases of emergency when martial may properly be invoked..." (Note 2.)

We are in a state of "emergency" now. And, more to the point, as the NDAA, by Congressional intent, has established the U.S. as part of the battlefield the U.S. is colorably under the Law of War and martial law. As such the amendment does nothing.

Sen. Moran, your vote for this meaningless amendment tells me you are not reading or analyzing what you are voting on. If you need more help, please hire it and don't make my Liberty pay for your being uninformed.

Neither Moran nor Roberts did anything to cure the unconstitutional problems with the NDAA 2012. They have both violated their oath of office.

"The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave."

~ Patrick Henry, speech in the Virginia Convention, 1775

OHIO RESIDENTS TOLD THEY ALREADY VOTED WHEN THEY SHOWED UP AT THE POLLS TUESDAY

From Godfather Politics posted on Nov. 7, 2012 by Da Tagliare

Forest Park is a city of about 19,000 residents in the northern suburbs of Cincinnati, Ohio, in Hamilton County. In 2008, Hamilton County voted for Barack Obama while most of the surrounding counties in Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana voted Republican.

With so many eyes on Ohio in yesterday's election, it was no surprise to see this news story on the local news.

A couple from [Forest Park](#) showed up yesterday at the polls to vote just like they have ever since they turned 18. When it was their turn, they were both told that they had already voted via absentee ballot. The couple said that they have never voted absentee and wanted to know how this could happen.

Precinct officials told them that they could fill out a provisional ballot, which they did.

Before long, a number of other voters in the same precinct were told the same thing, that they had already voted via absentee ballots and that they also needed to fill out a provisional ballot. Eventually, voting officials said that the mistake was due to 'human error' and that anyone else in the same situation would likewise have to cast a provisional ballot. In Ohio, provisional ballots are not counted until 10 days after the election.

I have to wonder what the human error was and how so many absentee ballots have been cast and who they voted for? With so many reports of possible voter fraud, especially in Ohio and early voting, I suspect that Democrats had gone through a voter registration roll and requested a number of absentee ballots, filled them in and submitted them for counting. I've been saying all along that Obama would win this election one way or

another. If not legally, then illegally.

Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/7942/ohio-residents-told-they-already-voted-when-they-showed-up-at-the-polls-tuesday/#ixzz2Bhg183Kq>

This is just the most obvious of the voter fraud that went on during this Presidential Election. It was reported that in one of the most important states, there was a several county area where there was not a single Republican vote. Do you honestly believe not a single Republican voted in several counties in any one state? I don't care how liberal the area is either the Republicans were NOT ALLOWED TO VOTE or their votes were NOT COUNTED. Too bad they don't have a Secretary of State as diligent and attentive to voter fraud as in Kansas. How many other voter fraud events happened across the country? We'll never know. It will be a miracle if we have a legally run election in 4 years. By that time Obama will have things so much his way he just won't let us "bother" to have another election or we'll have another "rigged" one. If that happens will Patriot's have the nerve to fight back as needed?

"Those who desire to give up freedom in order to gain security will not have, nor do they deserve, either one." ~ Benjamin Franklin

Slaughter of the USA -- by Sylva

Yes, the TEA Party had a lot to do with the campaign. We have worked our behinds off the past 3 years to inform everyone as to what was happening and how Obama was destroying our country. It was all in vain, our sleepless nights, our hard earned money spent, our research useless because either **NO ONE LISTENED** or **THEY JUST DIDN'T CARE**. What happened was the Republicans and Conservatives BEAT themselves. The reelection of Obama was all their fault. Millions of Republicans/Conservatives **DID NOT EVEN BOTHER TO VOTE**. They beat themselves and have possibly cost us our country. We can pray and work to bring our country back to the Constitution, to We the People, and most important, to GOD and Christianity. We could be on the path of correcting the horrible things Obama has passed and created in the past 4 years; instead we are going to be fighting tooth and nail to try to prevent further destruction. I don't understand how citizens could approve the way Obama has treated our Military, allowed American Citizens to be slaughtered, discarded/degraded Christianity. (Oh, I forgot – we aren't talking about normal people/Christians, we're talking about self-loving, don't give a care about anyone but themselves, and not intelligent enough to realize they are creating their own demise.) We had a landslide victory in sight and then MILLIONS of Conservative/Republicans decided we had it won and they didn't **EVEN BOTHER TO VOTE!!** Hello!! Where are their heads? This is the utmost in lazy, non-caring attitude. If you're too lazy to even vote why/how should you expect to have a great country? That is even worse than those who voted for Obama because they are too lazy to work and want Santa Claus (government) to support them. What have we been fighting day and night to accomplish? Certainly NOT to sit back and watch the world go by and allow Obama to be reelected. This is exactly the reason this country is in the mess it is -- because good citizens just can't be bothered to involve themselves. They are just **TOO BUSY** to be bothered!! Gather around your elderly because when they reach 75 they will no longer receive Health Care and be just ignored and left to die. **YOU** and every other American citizen are subject to arrest, being held indefinitely without benefit of a lawyer. Gun Control/banning is on it's way in; a list of guns to be banned has been published. Obama has made it clear that while he SAYS he is willing to compromise, he will only compromise HIS WAY. I could go on and on, but what's the use – the election is over. Those who didn't vote gave it away – I hope you're proud of yourselves, and as our Country sinks lower and lower into Socialism, and as you see your children and grandchildren sinking into the muck, you'll understand what your lazy uncaring attitude has done to them, our country, and the world. Congratulations, you got what you were too lazy to prevent; now enjoy it!!!

I wrote that the day I learned millions and millions of Republicans and Conservatives didn't vote. As you can tell I was VERY angry. I have cooled down, just a bit, and begun thinking. This is my usual response – get very angry, explode, cool, then think. Yes, I know it should go in a different order – well, that is just me. Hope you'll bear with me – I do try and I do care.

Now that I have begun to think, I do understand there may be a logical, if there is such a thing, explanation. Awhile before the election, a dear friend told me he could NOT vote for a non-Christian. I assumed he was speaking of Obama being a Muslim. Since the election, I have realized that Romney is also a non-Christian. Romney does NOT believe Jesus died on the cross for US. To the Mormons, Jesus was NOT the Son of God. I don't know much more than that about the Mormon beliefs, so won't say any more. It has been brought to my attention that many who did not vote understood that Obama was bad for the country, but couldn't vote for Romney because he is not a Christian either. OK, I can accept that – sorta. So why didn't they get together and have a write in for someone? Romney was NOT my choice of the ones the Republicans could have put up, but he was, in my opinion, preferable to Obama. It remains to be seen how severely Obama will continue to destroy our country.

I was always taught that if the best action is not possible, then go to the “next best”. To me, in this case, that would have been to vote for Romney or a write-in – if for no other reason than because he would have been better for our country than Obama. Mr. Romney has lived his life basically as a Christian would, many proclaimed many **Christians** would NOT do some of the things Mitt Romney has for his fellow man. Oh, I understand most of us could NOT afford to do what Romney has, but even if we could, would we? To me, Mitt Romney is so preferable to Obama that there is just no comparison. OK, if you couldn't vote for a non-Christian, I get that. I guess my reaction is just very different. I'm not saying you were wrong to make that choice, but neither are those who were thinking like I was – that voting for the lesser of two evils is best.

Already we are discovering that the man you re-elected, by not voting against him, has a secret army of our countries youth (numbering over 2000 in it's first beginnings), has written laws banning guns, intends to drive good Doctors out of practice with laws impossible to follow while continuing to be a good Doctor. What else he has in mind for this once wonderful country I can only imagine. It is NOT my imagination that he is working to dumb down our children by cutting their food intake and not teaching history and government classes; taking our GOOD energy systems away and thinking we can survive in our life styles with solar and wind. My prayers still include asking GOD to be with us and help us bring the United State of America back to GOD and the Constitution. As the Bible teaches us, this may be a very long road with many bumps and detours, but I have confidence it will eventually come to be, GOD willing.

I must say, Obama is NOT the only one destroying the United States of America. Slowly, but steadily, things have been happening since around the 1930's to 1950's, at least. The United Nations has been working on it since their beginning. Look it up – see what laws they have passed. They have called it “Agenda 21”. What Agenda 21 does is slowly, but surely and completely take away all individual citizen rights, including ownership of everything, transportation, equipment, land and stock. Once they have taken our guns, what can we do about it? So far, Congress has refused to stand up, actually **DO** anything now, so they are **NOT** to be counted on. We **MUST** start doing something **NOW!** Because with **EACH TOEHOLD OBAMA GAINS IS ONE GIANT STEP WE HAVE LOST!!**

Thanks, for listening to me. Getting thru this hasn't been easy. However, I feel GOD is with us and HE expects us to keep up the good fight. After all, the Bible tells us God will help those who help themselves. Now we need to focus on the State Laws, State Sovereignty in particular, in the effort to protect Kansas and Kansans from the Federal Government. Stay with us and join us in showing GOD we will continue to fight for God's presence in ourselves and our Country.

John Boehner Just Denied You Exist **from Tea Party PATRIOTS**

“Well, listen, I think this has been the most misreported story of my two years' tenure. We don't have a tea party caucus to speak of in the House.”

- Speaker John Boehner, ABC news, November 8, 2012

This is not about Mitt Romney. Mitt Romney is a good man, a good father, and a good American.

This is about the Beltway GOP establishment that picks losing candidates, runs losing campaigns – and now denies that you exist.

Mitt Romney is gone. Our problem is still here. Because the beltway GOP establishment is still here – and they still don't get it.

This is the same GOP establishment that: Allowed spending to go UP during the 2 years of power and control **we gave them** in Congress.

Raised the debt ceiling after heavy opposition from the American people.

Refused to utter the words "tea party" once during the entire GOP convention.

Opened the door to tax hikes – this week – with President Obama.

Said – this week – "Mr. President, this is your moment. We're ready to be led."

Said – this week – "Obamacare is the law of the land".

This week – denied we even exist!

While you were breaking your back on this election, often with no sleep, to help save this country – the GOP establishment was cooking up a scheme to blame you for their failures.

We knew they would try to blame you. So we hit them first.

There is no way I will let the establishment blame you for their losses.

Here is a story of a true Patriot:

In Southern California, Tea Party Patriot Linda Dorr, a lady who volunteered to help us save the country by making phone calls to undecided voters in Ohio. Our volunteer had a mild stroke. Two weeks later, she was back volunteering and making phone calls into Ohio to get out the vote. That's who we are.

Until we face the establishment in the eye and realize that we can't count on either of these political parties to save our country, our country will continue this slide to European-style socialism. There are those in the establishment who care more about their own power, prestige, and position than they do about defending the ideals and values that made America great. We must be willing to call the establishment out and remind them that the founding principles that made America great are the same ones that will solve America's greatest challenges.

We must be willing to lead. We are the last Patriots standing.

The Washington DC establishment may be angry at me for defending you and our supporters, and stopping them from blaming you for their losses. I won't apologize for standing up for you, and I won't back down.

You **ARE** the last best hope for America and I will keep fighting alongside each and every one of you to restore our Constitution and America's greatness.

~ **Jenny Beth Martin and the National Support Team**

It's Called Christmas!!!"

This is great! It may become the #1 Christmas song this year. These guys have got the answer... great song! Click on this link to hear "It's Called Christmas!"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jkIj94yepfU&feature=related>

This is great – enjoy!!!

Fiscal Cliff Poker: Call Obama's Bluff! *By Jeffrey L. Scribner on Dec. 11, 2012*

The president and the speaker of the House are playing poker. The imagined stakes are whether we go over the "fiscal cliff" on January 1, 2013. The actual stakes are the direction of the country -- whether we will see any GDP growth, put our people to work, and get out of the doldrums of the past four years, and whether the president will be able to demoralize the Republican Party and make it easier to take the country farther left.

There have been several good suggestions for compromise along the lines of Simpson-Bowles. (See for example Glenn Harlan Reynolds's [advice](#) in *USA Today*.) However, even if the suggested strategy worked, which is not a sure thing, deficits would continue for many years into the future.

[Some pundits think](#) the president will gain most of what he wants by "going over the fiscal cliff." Taxes will go up for just about everyone, and sequestration cuts Defense more than any other part of the budget. The theory is that the president then gets to blame the tax hike on the Republicans. He can then propose a "tax cut" for those making less than \$200K per year and blame any failure to pass that on the Republicans, too! Meanwhile, the sequestration budget cuts amount to only three percent of spending over the next decade, so we have almost status quo ante with a little more tax

revenue for a year or so if the economy doesn't take a nosedive.

Some other pundits say that the president **can't risk** going over the fiscal cliff because the shock to the economy will be too great and may leave him mired in recession and/or very slow growth for the bulk of or the whole of his second term, ruining his chances of a positive legacy. Moreover, it is not clear that everyone or even a large minority will agree that it is the Republicans that are at fault for going over the fiscal cliff.

The speaker is unable to produce a majority (even with Democrats) that will agree to the president's demand that tax rates be raised on those making more than \$200K per year. The speaker probably could produce a majority to close loopholes, lower tax rates, and bring in more revenue. This becomes even more of a problem because the president proposes that the corresponding spending cuts are pushed off and spread out over several years in the future. So even if the speaker wanted to give in on the raising tax rates point, he realistically can't.

So it looks like the president's hole card can be played only if the Republicans do not surrender and we go over the fiscal cliff. He then proposes a "tax cut," as described above.

But the speaker has a better hole card, and he can show it before we go over the fiscal cliff and play it for keeps afterward. The president already suspects that this hole card exists, and he is already nervous about it.

The hole card is the debt ceiling. The play before the cliff is to outline what things will look like if the president refuses any compromise on tax rates, loopholes, and spending cuts. There will be sequestration affecting defense, in particular, and "discretionary spending." There will be a tax hike for everyone. The "payroll tax holiday" will end, the tax rates for all brackets will rise, and other adjustments will increase the effective tax even on the lowest-paid workers. In addition, all of the new taxes in ObamaCare will become effective. All this additional drag on the economy will tend to further reduce the currently anemic growth rate and may result in a return to recession as the new year develops.

At this point, the House of Representatives will refuse to extend the debt ceiling. This will implement the spending cuts that the president wants to avoid, and while he will win some new revenue -- if the economy doesn't **contract** further -- he will experience the inability to do anything else for the remainder of his term in office, or at least until after the next midterm election.

Refusing to extend the debt ceiling will **stop deficit spending**. It will **force the president** and Congress to cooperate to decide how to allocate shortages.

Contrary to what Democrats might say, it is in fact possible to run the government, defend the country, and even pay Social Security without borrowing any **money** and loading our excess on our children and grandchildren. Not increasing the debt ceiling will make us be more responsible. And most of all, it will send a message to domestic and foreign markets that we intend to be responsible. This will tend to increase GDP even in the face of the tax hikes that will take place on January 1, 2013. It provides an end-run around the tax hike vs. spending cut impasse that has plagued us for the past four years. Moreover, it will effectively put an end to bailouts and other misuses of federal money, since there won't be any excess for that kind of expenditure.

It has become apparent to even the casual observer that absent a drastic measure like capping the debt ceiling, we are going to continue spending beyond our means. We are going to continue selling our birthrights and those of our children and grandchildren because of our own timidity in the face of the failure of our federal elected officials to do the job they were elected to do.

We need to draw a line in the sand and prevent ourselves from crossing it. **We can do it** in a few months by actually capping the debt ceiling. I ask the speaker to count his votes in the House. If there is support for this course, he can send it to the president and see if the president wants to compromise. One way or another, spending is going to have to go down.

Jeff Scribner is president of ASI Enterprises, Inc. (www.asienterprises.com), an **investment bank serving small and medium sized businesses. Contact him at jscibner@asienterprises.com**
Read more: http://www.americanthinker.com/2012/12/fiscal_cliff_poker_call_obamas_bluff.html#ixzz2Eqhi3h7u

"To preserve... independence, we must not let our rulers load us with perpetual debt. We must make our election between economy and liberty, or profusion and servitude." --Thomas Jefferson, 1816
